

# ONTARIO CHIROPODISTS AND PODIATRISTS DISCIPLINE

TRIBUNAL B E T W E E N:

COLLEGE OF CHIROPODISTS OF ONTARIO

(the “College”)

-and-

TEJINDER SINGH SAHOTA

(the “Registrant”)

## NOTICE OF HEARING

On December 4, 2025, a panel of the Inquiries, Complaints and Reports Committee of the College referred specified allegations of the Registrant’s professional misconduct to the Tribunal.

In this proceeding, the term “Registrant” means a “member” as set out in the *Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991*, the *Health Professions Procedural Code (Code)*, the applicable health profession Act, and the regulations thereto.

### **The allegation(s) are that the Registrant:**

1. Has committed an act of professional misconduct under:
  - a. Subsection 51(1)(c) of the Code, which is Schedule 2 of the *Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991*, and as defined in one or more of the following paragraphs of section 1 of the *Professional Misconduct Regulation (O. Reg. 750/93)* under the *Chiropody Act, 1991*:

- (i) paragraph 2 – failing to meet or contravening a standard of practice of the profession) and, in particular, the College’s written standards pertaining to:
  - a. Competence;
  - b. Patient Relations;
  - c. Advertising; and/or
  - d. Records;
- (ii) paragraph 4 – delegating or accepting the delegation of a controlled act contrary to the Act or the *Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991* or the regulations under either of those Acts;
- (iii) paragraph 17 – failing to keep records as required by the regulations;
- (iv) paragraph 20 – signing or issuing, in the member’s professional capacity, a document that contains a false or misleading statement;
- (v) paragraph 30 – contravening the *Chiropody Act, 1991*, the *Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991*, and/or the regulations under either of those Acts, including, but not limited to, section 5 of the *Chiropody Act, 1991*, and/or Ontario Regulation 203/94, Part II (Advertising), and/or Part III (Records); and/or

- (vi) paragraph 33 – engaging in conduct or performing an act, in the course of practising the profession that, having regard to all the circumstances, would reasonably be regarded by members as disgraceful, dishonourable, or unprofessional.

**Further information about these allegations is set out below:**

1. The Registrant was first registered with the College on September 3, 2013. At all times material to the allegations, the Registrant was registered with the College in the chiropodist classification to practise chiropody in Ontario.
2. During the relevant period, the Registrant practised at “Markham Podiatry”, located at 4997 Highway 7, Markham, Ontario (the “**Clinic**”).
3. On or about April 25, 2025, the College received a complaint about the Registrant from another registrant of the College (the “**Complaint**”). In particular, the Complaint raised concerns that the Registrant was performing bone surgery at the Clinic and holding himself out as qualified in Ontario to perform bone surgery.
4. The authorized scope of practice for a chiropodist in Ontario does not include bone surgery. Under section 5(2) of the *Chiropody Act*, only podiatrists are authorized to perform surgeries that require the “cutting into subcutaneous tissues of the foot and bony tissues of the forefoot.”
5. The Registrant is not registered as a podiatrist in Ontario.
6. However, the Registrant has performed bone surgeries on patients in Ontario and/or accepted delegation of an authorized act contrary to the provisions of the *Chiropody Act, 1991* and the *Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991*.
7. In particular, but not limited to, the Registrant performed bone surgeries outside his authorized scope of practice on or about the following dates:

- Patient A – March 27, 2024
  - Patient B – January 13, 2023 and May 17, 202
  - Patient C – May 22, 2024
  - Patient D – January 10, 2018 and May 15, 2024
  - Patient E – March 21, 2025
  - Patient F – April 24, 2024
  - Patient G – February 14, 2025
  - Patient H – July 3, 2025
  - Patient I – January 27, 2023
  - Patient J – October 28, 2020 and September 27, 2023
8. In addition, the Registrant advertised his practice to the public in manner that was false or misleading and/or failed to accurately and clearly identify his credentials.
  9. The Registrant also created records with respect to his practice (and, in particular the surgeries noted in paragraph 7) that contained false or misleading information and/or failed to include information required by the regulations and standards of the profession.
  10. Any further information and disclosure about the allegations against the Registrant will be provided in advance of the hearing.

The Tribunal will hold a hearing under sections 38 to 56 of the Code, the *Statutory Powers Procedure Act*, and the *Hearings in Tribunal Proceedings (Temporary Measures) Act, 2020*, on a date or dates to be set at a case management conference, to decide whether the Registrant has committed an act or acts of professional misconduct and/or is incompetent.

The College will file this Notice with the Tribunal. The Tribunal will then set a date for the first case management conference and send it to the parties with more information about the Tribunal's process.

**If the Registrant or their representative does not attend the case management conference or the hearing, the Tribunal may proceed in the Registrant's absence and the Registrant is not entitled to any further notice in the proceeding.**

If the Tribunal finds the Registrant committed an act or acts of professional misconduct, it may make one or more of the following orders under subsections 51(2) of the Code.

- direct the Registrar to revoke the Registrant's certificate of registration.
- direct the Registrar to suspend the Registrant's certificate of registration for a specified period of time.
- direct the Registrar to impose specified terms, conditions and limitations on the Registrant's certificate of registration for a specified or indefinite period of time.
- require the Registrant to appear before the Tribunal to be reprimanded.
- require the Registrant to pay a fine of not more than \$35,000 to the Minister of Finance.
- if the act of professional misconduct was the sexual abuse of a patient, require the Registrant to reimburse the College for funding provided for that patient under the program and/or require the Registrant to post security acceptable to the College to guarantee this payment.

The Tribunal may, under subsection 53.1 of the Code, require the Registrant to pay all or part of the College's costs and expenses. The Tribunal's website includes the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure, Practice Directions and guides to the process.

**Date:** December 4, 2025

Debra McKenna, Partner

**WeirFoulds LLP**

4100-66 Wellington Street West, Toronto, ON M5K 1B7

[dmckenna@weirfoulds.com](mailto:dmckenna@weirfoulds.com)

On behalf of the College:

Nicole Zwiers, Registrar and CEO

College of Chiropractors of Ontario

180 Dundas Street West, Toronto, ON M5G 1Z8

**TO:**

**TEJINDER SINGH SAHOTA**

**COLLEGE OF CHIROPODISTS OF ONTARIO**  
(the “College”)

- and - **TEJINDER SINGH SAHOTA**  
(the “Registrant”)

---

**DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE OF THE COLLEGE OF  
CHIROPODISTS OF ONTARIO**

---

**NOTICE OF HEARING**

---

**WEIRFOULDS LLP**

4100 – 66 Wellington Street West  
PO Box 35, TD Bank Tower  
Toronto, ON M5K 1B7

**Debra McKenna**

t. (416) 947-5080

e. [dmckenna@weirfoulds.com](mailto:dmckenna@weirfoulds.com)

Lawyers for the College of  
Chiropractors of Ontario